Implementation Report for IPA II Cross-Border PART A

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

| CCI | 2014TC16I5CB004 |
|--|--|
| Title | IPA CBC Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina - |
| | Montenegro |
| Version | 2019.0 |
| Date of approval of the report by the monitoring | 22-Sep-2020 |
| committee | |

| IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT | 1 |
|--|--|
| KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS | 7 |
| 3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION | 7 |
| 3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) N | |
| PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE | |
| TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 1.A | |
| TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 1.A.1.1 | |
| TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 2.B | |
| Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.b.2.1 | |
| TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 2.B.2.2 | |
| TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 3.D.3.1 | |
| TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 4.G | |
| TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA 4.G.4.1 | |
| PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE | 19 |
| TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA 5. TECHNICAL ASSIS | |
| 3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE | |
| 3.4. FINANCIAL DATA | |
| TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL | |
| AS SET OUT IN TABLE 1 OF ANNEX II TO COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) NO TRANSMISSION OF FINANCIAL DATA) AND TABLE 17 OF MODEL FOR COOPERATION PROGRAMM | |
| WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING | |
| SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND) | |
| TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION | |
| TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE V | |
| (A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKES (B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REM | ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS EDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, |
| WHERE APPROPRIATE | 39 |
| 6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) | 40 |
| 7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICI 1303/2013) | AND JOINT ACTION PLANS ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF |
| 8.1. Major projects | |
| 8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS | |
| SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES T. | |
| ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME | |
| 8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS | |
| TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP) | |
| SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM | 45 |
| 9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAM REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1 | ME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF |
| 9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article | |
| | 299/2013)46 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No |
| 1303/2013) | 299/2013) |

EN 2 EN

| 9.3. Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subpar of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013) | |
|--|------------------|
| 9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 130 | |
| 9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION | , |
| 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) | |
| 10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGR AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013 | RAPH 1 (A) 51 |
| 10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluation 2.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the community of the first state of the funds. | UNICATION |
| STRATEGY | 53 |
| 11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OB | |
| THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REC (EU) NO 1299/2013) | |
| 11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDIN | NG INTEGRATED |
| TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UND | |
| COOPERATION PROGRAMME | |
| 11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES AND TO USE THE IPA | |
| 11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE) | |
| EUSDR | |
| EUSAIR | |
| 11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION | |
| 13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH | 63 |
| | |
| 14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — | |
| PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) | 64 |
| | |
| DOCUMENTS | 65 |
| LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS | " |
| L/3 LL/3 L V /3 L/LL/3 LLV/3 LV/3 UL/ L/3 | |

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

In 2019, the Managing Authority (MA) continued managing the Programme in accordance with the principles of sound financial management. Together with the Joint Secretariat (JS) and other Programme Bodies, MA coordinated and implemented the activities related to the Programme. As of 1/1/2019 the Agency for Regional Development (ARD) is merged with the Ministry of Regional Development and EU Funds (MRDEUF). Therefore, all 3 programme bodies (MA, JS, HR CB) of the ARD became integral part of the MRDEUF.

Key activities in 2019 were as follows:

Call for Proposals (CfP)

1st CfP

In 2019, 18 out of 24 projects finished their implementation (PESCAR, SMART SCHOOLS, Invest in LOG, competenceNET, HERITAGE ROUTE, Q-ACCESS HC, MELAdetect, SLEEP MEDICINE, WE CARE, RiTour, safEARTH, CHESTNUT, BECHARAC&GANGA, IRENE, ADRIATIC CANYONING, FORTRESS REINVENTED, BACAR, CODE). 4 projects ended their implementation by the half of 2020 (NeurNet, COMPETE PLAMET, RMPPI, STRONGER). 1 project (RENEW HEAT) finished its implementation in 2018, and 1 project (PALL NET) was terminated. During the 2019 the MA and FLC performed four on-the-spot monitoring visits to the projects in the final stage of implementation. The MA visited Lead beneficiaries of the projects Q-Access HC and Renew Heat before the approval of the final report. No irregularities were detected during the visits.

2nd CfP

In 2019 the process for the 2nd Call for Proposals has continued. After approving of the Joint Steering Committee (JSC) composition and Joint Steering Committee Rules of Procedures by the Joint Monitoring Committee (JMC) at the end of the 2018, on 17 January 2019 the 1st JSC meeting was held in Zagreb, Croatia. JSC adopted Assessment and Selection strategy which served as a technical guide for efficient assessment and selection process. The assessment and selection process were conducted in two steps in the electronic Monitoring System (eMS) respecting GfA, section 4.1: administrative compliance and eligibility check, and quality assessment. Altogether 4 JSC meetings were held and during the final one on 3/10/2019 four ranking lists were established, one per each Priority axis and the Report on assessment and selection process was made.

The Joint Monitoring Committee met on 29 and 30/10/2019 in Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina) to select applications for funding within the 2nd Call for Proposals. The Report on assessment and selection process with the proposed ranking list of the projects to be funded presented by the JSC was approved by the JMC. The amount allocated to the 2nd CfPs was EUR 25.966.626,00 out of which EUR 22.579.675,00 represented EU co-financing and EUR 3.386.951,00 represented national co-financing.

JMC decided to allocate all the remaining funds to the 2nd CfPs project and not to publish the 3rd CfPs in order to accelerate the absorption of the remaining funds.

Information and communication activities

In line with the adopted Programme Communication Strategy and Annual Communication Plan for 2019, the MA implemented different information and communication activities.

On 7/8/2019 the version (3.0) of the Guidelines for eligibility of expenditures for Croatian Project Partners was published on the Programme web site (https://bit.ly/2RcYNXc).

On the 5/3/2019 the Meeting of Control bodies (https://bit.ly/33eLA5L) was organized in Zagreb by the Managing Authority and it offered a unique opportunity to share findings of Control bodies from Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro and exchange information with the Managing Authority and Joint Secretariats.

The Programme participated at the Tasting of Regions and Cities session within the European Week of Regions & Cities which was held 7-10/10/2019 (https://bit.ly/3m7uCid). The Programme presented local, authentic food products of regions from the Programme area.

As part of this year's EC Day campaign with, the Programme organized an interactive exhibition, a project fair and a cycle cinema in Bosnia and Herzegovina on 18/10/2019 at Banja Luka's Kastel fortress (https://bit.ly/2Fl3BXO). In addition, the National Authority of Montenegro – Government of Montenegro, European Integration Office – organized an EC Day celebration in Podgorica on 30/9/-1/10/2019 (https://bit.ly/2GOnIOT).

In order to apply the harmonization of the Interreg brand to projects, the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia-Bosnia and Herzegovina-Montenegro 2014-2020 developed 2.0 version of the Visibility Guidelines as the main document concerning visibility and communication topics and published it on 13/11/2019 (https://bit.ly/32dZfL3).

Programme bodies activities

On 5/3/2019, the MA organised 2nd FLC networking meeting in Zagreb (Croatia) where issues of the first level control were discussed.

Programming 2021 – 2027

During 11/2019, the MA initiated the appointment of the members and their substitutes of the Programming Task Force (PTF) for the programming of the next financial period. The nominations were sent by the National Authorities. The first PTF meeting was held in Zagreb on 16/12/2019 and was attended by more than 30 representatives. PTF was set up to discuss the future of the Programme in post 2020 period. The approval of PTF Rules of Procedures (RoP) and Terms of Reference (ToR) for programming were done through a written procedure in 2020.

Programme Management

| In 2019 the following audits were carried out: |
|---|
| - System audit – performance data reliability |
| - System audit - assessment of operational effectiveness of the management and control system (MCS) bodies - Key requirements 3,6 & 8 |
| - System audit - adequate separation of functions and adequate reporting and monitoring systems where the responsible authority confits the performance of tasks to another body defined by Regulation (EU) 480/2014 - Key requirements 1 |
| - Audit of operations (RenewHeat, safeEarth, Becharac&Ganga, Q-Access-HC and HR CA TA) |
| Overall conclusion on the assessment of MCS is Category 2: System works. Some improvement(s) needed. |
| Audit of operations resulted with low level of finding importance Findings and some recommendation should be implemented. |
| In 2019, the Programme contracted experts to carry out a comprehensive operational evaluation on the efficiency and effectiveness of the Programme. The consortium containing experts from WYG savjetovanje Ltd. from Zagreb and company t33 from Ancona carried out the operational evaluation of the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme. The aim of the evaluations is to assess how support from the funds has contributed to the objectives of each Priority Axis. The Final Evaluation Report on Programme implementation was also presented and adopted on the JMC meeting on 30/10/2019 and is available on https://bit.ly/3ih968H. |
| Human Resources |
| One JS project manager resigned in 2/2019 and in MA, TA Manager in 4/2019. The positions of Legal Officer and Programme Assistant were vacant as of 5/2019. From 11/2019 the position of Programme Assistant was filled again. |

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, |
|---------|--|---|
| | | significant problems and steps taken to address these problems |
| PA 1 | Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area | Commitment rate for PA1 is 40,24%. |
| | | Under Priority Axis 1, 6 projects were contracted within 1st Call for Proposals at the total value of EUR 4.058.498,88, out of which EUR 3.446.761,61 is EU funding (85% of total contract value). |
| | | Projects contracted within 1st CfP are as follows: |
| | | Q-Access-HC – 592.477,60 EUR (EU share 500.643,57 EUR) |
| | | SLEEP MEDICINE – 480.231,54 EUR (EU share 408.196,80 EUR) We CARE – 690.623,50 EUR (EU share 587.029,96 EUR) |
| | | MELAdetect – 652.427,90 EUR (EU share 554.563,70 EUR) |
| | | NeurNet – 865.767,12 EUR (EU share 735.902,04 EUR) |
| | | PALL NET – 776.971,25 EUR (EU share 660.425,55 EUR). |
| | | Project PALL NET was the first project that ended its implementation due to the termination of the Subsidy contract in July 2018. Four more projects ended implementation in 2019, while only one project (NeurNet) ended implementation in July 2020. |
| | | Assessment and selection process of project proposals received within 2nd CfP was finalized in October 2019, when Joint Monitoring Committee selected 8 projects within PA1. Process of optimization and contracting the selected projects was carried out during 2020. |
| | | There were no significant problems in project implementation during 2019. |
| PA 2 | Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting | Commitment rate for PA2 is 42,49%. |
| | sustainable energy and energy efficiency | Under this priority axis, 7 projects were contracted within 1st CfP at the total value of EUR 7.143.500,11, out of which EUR 6.070.330,87 of EU funding (85% of total contract value). |
| | | Projects contracted within 1st CfPs are as follows: |
| | | SMART SCHOOLS – 1.644.127,88 EUR (EU share 1.395.864,54 EUR) |
| | | RENEW HEAT – 698.681,14 EUR (EU share 593.878,95 EUR) |
| | | PESCAR – 601.639,26 EUR (EU share 511.393,35 EUR) |
| | | SafEarth – 974.695,50 EUR (EU share 828.491,17 EUR) |

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, |
|----|--|---|
| | | significant problems and steps taken to address these problems |
| | | IRENE – 1.915.820,00 EUR (EU share 1.628.447,00 EUR) |
| | | Chestnut – 589.558,39 EUR (EU share 501.124,61 EUR) |
| | | RMPPI – 718.978,00 EUR (EU share 611.131,30 EUR). |
| | | Six projects ended implementation in 2019, while only one project (RMMPI) ended in February 2020. |
| | | Assessment and selection process of project proposals received within 2nd CfP was finalized in October 2019, when Joint Monitoring Committee selected 6 projects within PA2. Process of optimization and contracting the selected projects was carried out during 2020. |
| | | No significant problems in project implementation were identified in project implementation during 2019. |
| PA | Contributing to the development of tourism and | Commitment rate for PA3 is 34,92%. |
| 3 | preserving cultural and natural heritage | Under this priority axis, 5 projects were contracted at the total value of EUR 7.043.874,96, out of which EUR 5.987.293,63 of EU funding (85% of total contract value). |
| | | Projects contracted within 1st CfPs are as follows: Heritage Route – 706.517,08 EUR (EU share 600.539,51 EUR) Becharac&Ganga – 2.307.174,13 EUR (EU share 1.961.098,00 EUR) ADRIATIC CANYONING – 1.879.251,32 EUR (EU share 1.597.363,59 EUR) Fortress Reinvented – 1.310.232,40 EUR (EU share 1.113.697,53 EUR) RiTour – 840.700,03 EUR (EU share 714.594,99 EUR). |
| | | All five projects ended implementation in 2019. |
| | | Assessment and selection process of project proposals received within 2nd CfP was finalized in October 2019, when Joint Monitoring Committee selected 8 projects within PA3. Process of optimization and contracting the selected projects was carried out during 2020. |
| | | No significant problems in project implementation were identified in project implementation during 2019. |
| PA | Enhancing competitiveness and developing | Commitment rate for PA4 is 43,79%. |
| 4 | business environment in the programme area | |
| | | Under this priority axis, 6 projects were contracted at the total value of EUR 5.888.604,72, out of which EUR 5.004.334,62 of EU funding (85% of total contract value). |

| ID | Priority axis | Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, |
|----|----------------------|---|
| | | significant problems and steps taken to address these problems |
| | | Projects contracted within 1st CfPs are as follows: CODE – 883.555,54 EUR (EU share 751.022,20 EUR) CompetenceNET – 670.619,24 EUR (EU share 570.026,34 EUR) Invest in LOG – 731.906,95 EUR (EU share 622.120,89 EUR) BACAR – 1.019.843,87 EUR (EU share 866.867,28 EUR) Compete Plamet – 1.603.369,21 EUR (EU share 1.362.863,82 EUR) STRONGER – 979.309,91 EUR (EU share 831.434,09 EUR). |
| | | Four projects ended implementation in 2019, while two projects (STRONGER and COMPETE PLAMET) ended implementation in January and April 2020, respectively. |
| | | Assessment and selection process of project proposals received within 2nd CfP was finalized in October 2019, when Joint Monitoring Committee selected 8 projects within PA4. Process of optimization and contracting the selected projects was carried out during 2020. |
| | | No significant problems in project implementation were identified in project implementation during 2019. |
| PA | Technical Assistance | Commitment rate for PA5 is 100%. |
| 5 | | The total value of the technical assistance is EUR 6.724.156,00, out of which EUR 5.715,531 is EU funding. Ten projects were contracted within Call for Proposals for Technical Assistance conducted in 2017. In 2018, TA projects of MA and JS merged into single TA project, therefore there are now nine TA projects. |
| | | The current TA beneficiaries are: Managing Authority and Joint Secretariat, Certifying Authority, Audit Authority, National Authority in Croatia, Control Body in Croatia, National Authority in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Control Body in Bosnia and Herzegovina, National Authority in Montenegro and Control Body in Montenegro. |

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

| Priority | PA 1 - Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area |
|----------|--|
| axis | |
| Thematic | a - Promoting employment, labour mobility and social and cultural inclusion across borders through, inter alia: integrating cross-border labour markets, including cross-border |
| Priority | mobility; joint local employment initiatives; information and advisory services and joint training; gender equality; equal opportunities; integration of immigrants' communities |
| | and vulnerable groups; investment in public employment services; and supporting investment in public health and social services. |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 1.a

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2019 | Observations | |
|-----|------|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|
| F | CO36 | Health: Population covered by improved health services | ****** | | | Project PALL NET provided additional health services to the needs of 529 palliative patients and persons in disadvantage, whereas projects We Care (543) organised home visits and provided palliative care. Project MELAdetect organized info days and awareness campaigns about melanoma, together with screenings of skin for 573 participants. Project NEURNET offered improved health services for 50 persons. In 2018 the reported value was higher (10.946) than the value reported in 2019 (10.563), because project Q-Access HC reported that 10.000 persons received improved health services in 4. reporting period and that was the value recorded in AIR2018, but final MA on-the-spot control confirms real number as 8.860 persons. Therefore, the project Q-Access HC recorded 8860 as total number of persons that were provided with additional health services during project impl. | |
| S | CO36 | Health: Population covered by improved health services | Persons | 300,000.00 | 26,820.00 | Projects PALL NET, Q-Access HC, SLEEP MEDICINE, We CARE, MELAdetect and NeurNet, contracted within the 1stCfP, foreseen to cover 26.820 persons with improved health services by the end of their implementation. Project PALL NET was terminated in July 2018. Target value for 2023 will probably have to be decreased during the next CP modification. | |
| F | PA1a | Number of jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services | Number | 11.00 | 7.00 | Within project Q-Access HC, 2 health care centers in cross-border area significantly improved diagnostic services and access to patients, while shortening emergency response time. Project We CARE opened 2 new age-friendly centers with aim to increase physical and intellectual capacities of elderly people in the cross-border area, and created Quality assessment tool together with Handbook on elderly care. Project MELAdetect created e-health tool that enables continuous tracking of changes in patient's skin or eyes thus preventing and improving medical treatment of melanoma. | |
| S | PA1a | Number of jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services | Number | 11.00 | 12.00 | Projects PALL NET, Q-Access HC, SLEEP MEDICINE, We CARE, MELAdetect and NeurNet, contracted within the 1stCfP, foreseen to deliver 12 jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services. Project PALL NET was terminated in July 2018.SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving a certain output made during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. | |
| F | | Number of participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care | | 343.00 | 618.00 | Project PALL NET trained 63 persons as palliative care providers. Project Q-Access HC also trained 6 members of established mobile palliative teams, as well as 27 persons in quality management systems. Within project We CARE, 29 participants attended trainings on elderly care. Project MELAdetect provided educations and trainings to 454 participants on melanoma, i.e. raising awareness and knowledge of risks and treatment of melanoma. Project SLEEP MEDICINE educated 13 persons on health care, while project NEURNET educated 26 persons. Due to the high interest in this topic, a higher number of persons were educated than it was planned at the beginning of implementation. SFC warnings 253.1 and 254.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. | |
| S | PA1b | Number of participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care | Number | 343.00 | 235.00 | Projects PALL NET, Q-Access HC, SLEEP MEDICINE, We CARE, MELAdetect and NeurNet, contracted within the 1st CfP, foreseen to provide education to 235 participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care. Project PALL NET was terminated in July 2018. | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|-----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| F | CO36 | Health: Population covered by improved health services | 10,946.00 | 105.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO36 | Health: Population covered by improved health services | 26,820.00 | 26,820.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA1a | Number of jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services | 5.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA1a | Number of jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services | 12.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA1b | Number of participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care | 288.00 | 36.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA1b | Number of participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care | 235.00 | 235.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

⁽¹⁾ S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

| Priority | PA 1 - Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| axis | | | | | | | |
| Thematic | a - Promoting employment, labour mobility and social and cultural inclusion across borders through, inter alia: integrating cross-border labour markets, including cross-border | | | | | | |
| Priority | mobility; joint local employment initiatives; information and advisory services and joint training; gender equality; equal opportunities; integration of immigrants' communities | | | | | | |
| | and vulnerable groups; investment in public employment services; and supporting investment in public health and social services. | | | | | | |
| Specific | 1.1 - To improve the quality of the services in public health and social care sector across the borders | | | | | | |
| objective | | | | | | | |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 1.a.1.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline | Baseline | Target value | 2019 | 2019 | Observations |
|-----|--|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------|-------------|---|
| | | unit | value | year | (2023) Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| PA1 | The level of accessibility and availability of | Percentage | 44.00 | 2015 | 75.00 | 57.11 | | In 2019 the values are reported as in 2018 because the ones reflecting the real state of play |
| | the services within public health care sector | | | | | | | for result indicators will be available only in years agreed under 'frequency of reporting' – |
| | | | | | | | | 2018, 2020, 2023. |
| | | | | | | | | Explanation of SFC warning 248.1: Value for 2014 is 0 because the Programme was |
| | | | | | | | | approved in 2015 and did not have baseline values for 2014. |

| ID | Indicator | 2018 Total | 2018 Qualitative | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative |
|-----|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| PA1 | The level of accessibility and availability of the services within public health care sector | 57.11 | | 44.00 | | 44.00 | | 44.00 | |

| ID | Indicator | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-----|--|------------|------------------|
| PA1 | The level of accessibility and availability of the services within public health care sector | 0.00 | |

| Priority | PA 2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency |
|----------|--|
| axis | |
| Thematic | b - Protecting the environment and promoting climate change adaptation and mitigation, risk prevention and management through, inter alia: joint actions for environmental |
| Priority | protection; promoting sustainable use of natural resources, resource efficiency, renewable energy sources and the shift towards a safe and sustainable low-carbon economy; |
| | promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems and emergency preparedness. |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 2.b

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement | Target | 2019 | Observations |
|-----|------|--|-------------|------------|-----------|---|
| (1) | 110 | inuicator | unit | value | 2019 | Observations |
| F | PA2a | Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status (ha) (CI) | | | 11,401.78 | Within project Chestnut, analysis of 11,401.78 ha of chestnut forests was conducted, with proposed measures for conservation and formal protection of chestnut populations, includes several chapters for which research and analysis have been performed. The results of these analyses served as the basis for the development of a plan for the implementation of management and economic measures and the establishment of continuous and purposeful monitoring in chestnut forests, including an action plan for the implementation of priority measures. SFC warning 253.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| S | PA2a | Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status (ha) (CI) | ha | 14.00 | 43,399.10 | Only project Chestnut, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, has chosen this indicator and provided their forecast of 43399,1 ha of surface area of habitats to be affected by the project. SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| F | | Area covered by improved emergency preparedness and risk prevention systems (km2) | | 17.00 | 16,134.88 | Through the implementation of activities that resulted in the development of transnational guidelines for the creation of landslide susceptibility maps, the safEarth project partners made a pioneering step that laid the foundation for the inclusion of landslide susceptibility maps in laws related to spatial planning (land use management) and civil protection. During the project, the instructions were used to produce landslide susceptibility maps a various scales, of which landslide susceptibility maps made on a regional scale covered the largest area around 11,141.60 km2. PESCAR (4993,28) In 2018 the reported value was higher (16.138.28) than the value reported in 2019 (16.134.88), because project SafEarth reported higher values that were additionally decreased in order to reflect real situation. SFC w253.1:Target value for 2023 will be increased in CP modification. |
| S | PA2b | Area covered by improved emergency preparedness and risk prevention systems (km2) | | 17.00 | 16,139.18 | Projects safEarth and PESCAR, contracted within the 1st CfP, expect to cover 16.134.88 km2 by improved emergency preparedness and risk prevention systems. SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| F | PA2c | Population benefiting from flood protection measures (CI) | Number | 150,000.00 | 4,791.00 | One of the main goals of the safEarth project was the reconstruction of several parts of the only road that connects Željezno Polje with the main road and that was completely destroyed due to the activation of primarily flash floods, and then landslides. In that way, the local community Željezno Polje, whose population is 4,791.00, is not isolated anymore and is safe. |
| S | PA2c | Population benefiting from flood protection measures (CI) | Number | 150,000.00 | 5,000.00 | Only project safEarth, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, has chosen this indicator and provided forecast of 5.000 persons benefiting from flood protection measures implemented in the Programme area. |
| F | | Additional capacity of renewable energy production (MW) | MW | 32.00 | 1.82 | Project SMART SCHOOLS installed new biomass-using heating boilers in three schools and two solar photo-voltaic systems in two schools, achieving altogether 0.9 MW of additional capacity of renewable energy production. In addition, project IRENE installed 1 demonstrative EE lighting system, providing 0.04 MW of additional capacity of renewable energy and replacing old mercury system in Boka Bay Area. RENEW HEAT produced 0.88 MW of additional capacity of renewable energy. SFC warning 254.1 explanation: Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving a certain output made during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. |
| S | PA2d | Additional capacity of renewable energy production (MW) | MW | 32.00 | 1.56 | Projects SMART SCHOOLS, RENEW HEAT, IRENE and RMPPI, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, foreseen to produce 1,56 MW of additional capacity of renewable energy by the end of their implementation. Current indicator target value (32 MW) is a result of clerical error and is planned to be corrected to 3,5 MW in foreseen Cooperation Programme modification, in line with calculations in Annex 19 of CP. |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|-----------|------------|------|------|------|
| F | PA2a | Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status (ha) (CI) | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA2a | Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status (ha) (CI) | 43,399.10 | 43,399.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA2b | Area covered by improved emergency preparedness and risk prevention systems (km2) | 16,138.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA2b | Area covered by improved emergency preparedness and risk prevention systems (km2) | 16,139.18 | 126,596.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA2c | Population benefiting from flood protection measures (CI) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA2c | Population benefiting from flood protection measures (CI) | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA2d | Additional capacity of renewable energy production (MW) | 1.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA2d | Additional capacity of renewable energy production (MW) | 1.56 | 1.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

⁽¹⁾ S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

| Priority | PA 2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency |
|-----------|--|
| axis | |
| Thematic | b - Protecting the environment and promoting climate change adaptation and mitigation, risk prevention and management through, inter alia: joint actions for environmental |
| Priority | protection; promoting sustainable use of natural resources, resource efficiency, renewable energy sources and the shift towards a safe and sustainable low-carbon economy; |
| | promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems and emergency preparedness. |
| Specific | 2.1 - To promote and improve environment and nature protection and management systems for risk prevention |
| objective | |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.b.2.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline | Baseline | Target value | 2019 | 2019 | Observations |
|-------|------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------|-------------|--|
| | | unit | value | year | (2023) Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| PA2.1 | Disaster response capability | Percentage | 55.00 | 2015 | 89.00 | 63.21 | | In 2019 the values are reported as in 2018 because the ones reflecting the real state of play for result |
| | in the programme area | | | | | | | indicators will be available only in years agreed under 'frequency of reporting' – 2018, 2020, 2023. |

| ID | Indicator | 2018 Total | 2018 Qualitative | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| PA2.1 | Disaster response capability in the programme area | 63.21 | | | | | | 55.00 | |

| ID | Indicator | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|
| PA2.1 | Disaster response capability in the programme area | | |

| Priority | PA 2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency |
|-----------|--|
| axis | |
| Thematic | b - Protecting the environment and promoting climate change adaptation and mitigation, risk prevention and management through, inter alia: joint actions for environmental |
| Priority | protection; promoting sustainable use of natural resources, resource efficiency, renewable energy sources and the shift towards a safe and sustainable low-carbon economy; |
| | promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems and emergency preparedness. |
| Specific | 2.2 - To promote utilization of renewable energy resources and energy efficiency |
| objective | |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 2.b.2.2

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline value | Baseline | Target value (2023) | 2019 | 2019 | Observations |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------|---------------------|-------|-------------|---|
| | | unit | | year | Total | Total | Qualitative | |
| PA2.2 | Energy consumption by | kWh | 2,697,101,345.25 | 2014 | 2,508,304,251.08 | | | In 2019 the values are reported as in 2018 because the ones reflecting the real state of play for |
| | public buildings in the | | | | | | | result indicators will be available only in years agreed under 'frequency of reporting' – 2018, |
| | programme area | | | | | | | 2020, 2023. |
| | | | | | | | | In 2018, the data for Brčko District and municipalities in Republika Srpska were not |
| | | | | | | | | delivered and some of the delivered data were incompatible with the requested data, therefore |
| | | | | | | | | the obtained data is incomplete and might be misleading if entered as total. |
| | | | | | | | | Explanation of SFC warning 248.1: Value for 2014 is 0 because the Programme was |
| | | | | | | | | approved in 2015 and did not have baseline values for 2014. |

| ID | Indicator | 2018 Total | 2018 Qualitative | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| PA2.2 | Energy consumption by public buildings in the programme area | | | | | | | | |

| ID | Indicator | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|
| PA2.2 | Energy consumption by public buildings in the programme area | 0.00 | |

| Priority axis | PA 3 - Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage |
|-------------------|--|
| Thematic Priority | d - Encouraging tourism and cultural and natural heritage. |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 3.d

| (1 |) ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2019 | Observations |
|----|------|--|---------------------|-----------------|--------|---|
| F | PA3a | Number of joint tourism offers/products developed and/or implemented and promoted | Number | 23.00 | 4.00 | Project Heritage route established a cross-border thematic tourist route devoted to the cultural and religious attractions and created 2 tourism packages, whereas project RiTour developed and organised international cup of the Adriatic-Danubian countries in fly-fishing, which served as a great opportunity for joint promotion of the region. |
| S | PA3a | Number of joint tourism offers/products developed and/or implemented and promoted | Number | 23.00 | 12.00 | Projects Becharac & Ganga, Fortress Reinvented and RiTour, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, envisaged to develop, implement and promote in total 12 joint tourism offers/products by the end of their implementation. |
| F | PA3b | Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards | Number | 10.00 | 33.00 | Within project ADRIATIC CANYONING, 9 persons are certified in accordance with the European standard UNI EN 15567-2 (technical instructors for rope courses), whereas project Heritage route organised tailor-made educations for 20 cross-border tourism providers for rural tourism management and 4 tourist guides and water route guides, all in accordance with EU certifications and standards. SFC warning 253.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will however have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| S | PA3b | Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards | Number | 10.00 | | Projects Heritage route and ADRIATIC CANYONING, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, envisaged to support 34 tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards. The number has decreased in relation to number reported in AIR 2018 (46) since project Heritage route shifted 12 people to another programme output indicator - Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management. Explanation of SFC warning 252.1: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| F | PA3c | Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed and/or improved | Number | 13.00 | 6.00 | Project Becharac & Ganga implemented tourism infrastructure for the famous mountain climbing route Hajdučka družina (BIH), project ADRIATIC CANYONING equipped 4 sites with outdoor tourism infrastructure: Peć Mlini in Grude (BIH), Zrmanja Canyon and Čikola Canyon (HR); and Village Vrbanj at Orjen Mountain (ME), and project RiTour installed info point in Podgorica (ME). |
| | | Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed and/or improved | | 13.00 | | Projects Becharac & Ganga, ADRIATIC CANYONING and RiTour, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, envisaged to develop or improve in total 12 tourism supporting facilities/tourism infrastructure. |
| F | PA3d | Number of sustainable management plans for cultural and natural heritage sites developed and/or implemented | Number | 7.00 | 8.00 | Project RiTour developed a sustainable tourism development strategy for the City of Solin. Project ADRIATIC CANYONING developed Joint model for better management and sustainable use of natural resources for all locations supported by the project. Project Heritage Route developed sustainable cultural and natural heritage management plans for 3 locations: Lipik (HR), Gareśnica (HR) and Banja Luka (BIH). Project Becharac & Ganga developed Interpretation plan of the cultural route Becharac & Ganga, and Interpretation plans for cities Pleternica (HR) and Tomislavgrad (BIH). SFC warning 253.1 explanation: Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving a certain output made during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. |
| S | PA3d | Number of sustainable management plans for cultural and natural heritage sites developed and/or implemented | Number | 7.00 | 10.00 | Projects Heritage route, Becharac & Ganga, ADRIATIC CANYONING and RiTour, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, envisaged to develop and implement in total 10 sustainable management plans for cultural and natural heritage sites. SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving a certain output made during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. |
| F | PA3e | Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management | Number | 343.00 | 277.00 | Projects Heritage route and Becharac & Ganga organised and implemented trainings in the area of destination management for 86 participants (64 within project Heritage route and 22 within project Becharac & Ganga), whereas project RiTour educated 37 participants as tourist guides. Project ADRIATIC CANYONING trained 8 people in quality standards in tourism. Project Fortress Reinvented educated 146 people in management of cultural heritage sites with innovative perspective. |
| S | PA3e | Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management | Number | 343.00 | 289.00 | Projects Heritage route, Becharac & Ganga, ADRIATIC CANYONING, Fortress ReInvented and RiTour, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, envisaged to provide trainines in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management to 289 participants. The number has increased in relation to AIR 2018 due to the fact that project Heritage Route shifted 12 people from Programme output indicator Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards to this output indicator. |
| | | and/or improved | Number | 14.00 | | Within project Heritage route, 19 cultural, sacral and natural assets were preserved and improved by implementing small scale infrastructure interventions. Project RiTour improved walking path to Stjepan Grad in Blagaj (BIH). Project Becharac & Ganga developed new tourism infrastructure – square was constructed with roh bau museum of Becharac. SFC warning 253.1 explanation: Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving a certain output made during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. |
| S | PA3f | Number of cultural and natural assets developed and/or improved | Number | 14.00 | 26.00 | Projects Heritage route, Becharac & Ganga, ADRIATIC CANYONING and RiTour, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, envisaged to develop or improve 26 cultural and natural assets in the Programme area. SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving a certain output made during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|--------|--------|------|------|------|
| F | PA3a | Number of joint tourism offers/products developed and/or implemented and promoted | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA3a | Number of joint tourism offers/products developed and/or implemented and promoted | 12.00 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA3b | Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards | 29.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA3b | Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards | 46.00 | 46.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA3c | Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed and/or improved | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA3c | Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed and/or improved | 12.00 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA3d | Number of sustainable management plans for cultural and natural heritage sites developed and/or implemented | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA3d | Number of sustainable management plans for cultural and natural heritage sites developed and/or implemented | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA3e | Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management | 104.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA3e | Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management | 277.00 | 277.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA3f | Number of cultural and natural assets developed and/or improved | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA3f | Number of cultural and natural assets developed and/or improved | 26.00 | 26.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

| Priority axis | PA 3 - Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage |
|--------------------|--|
| Thematic Priority | d - Encouraging tourism and cultural and natural heritage. |
| Specific objective | 3.1 - To strengthen and diversify the tourism offer through cross border approaches and to enable better management and sustainable use of cultural and natural heritage |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 3.d.3.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline | Baseline | Target value | 2019 Total | 2019 | Observations |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---|
| | | unit | value | year | (2023) Total | | Qualitative | |
| PA3 | Increase in arrivals of non-residents | Number | 73,522,546.00 | 2014 | 73,591,990.00 | 59,114,676.00 | | In 2019 the values are reported as in 2018 because the ones reflecting the real state |
| | staying in hotels and similar | | | | | | | of play for result indicators will be available only in years agreed under 'frequency |
| | establishments | | | | | | | of reporting' – 2018, 2020, 2023. |
| | | | | | | | | Explanations of SFC warning 248.1: The full explanation of the value for 2018 is |
| | | | | | | | | given in AIR 2018. Value for 2014 is 0 because the Programme was approved in |
| | | | | | | | | 2015 and did not have baseline values for 2014. |

| ID | Indicator | 2018 Total | 2018 Qualitative | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative |
|-----|--|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| PA3 | Increase in arrivals of non-residents staying in hotels and similar establishments | 59,114,676.00 | | 73,522,546.00 | | 73,522,546.00 | | 73,522,546.00 | |

| ID | Indicator | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-----|--|------------|------------------|
| PA3 | Increase in arrivals of non-residents staying in hotels and similar establishments | 0.00 | |

| Priority axis | PA 4 - Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area |
|---------------|--|
| Thematic | g - Enhancing competitiveness, the business environment and the development of small and medium-sized enterprises, trade and investment through, inter alia, promotion and |
| Priority | support to entrepreneurship, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises, and development of local cross-border markets and internationalisation. |

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 4.g

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Target value | 2019 | Observations |
|-----|------|--|---------------------|-----------------|-------|--|
| F | CO26 | Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions | Enterprises | 23.00 | 6.00 | Within project CompetenceNET 6 enterprises signed the Memorandum on participation in SMART COOPERATION Programme. |
| S | CO26 | Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions | | 23.00 | 36.00 | Projects competenceNET and STRONGER foreseen to set up cooperation between 36 enterprises / business support institutions and research institutions through their implementation. SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| F | PA4a | Number of cross-border business clusters and/or networks developed and/or implemented | Number | 11.00 | | Project BACAR established 3 clusters: Creative industry in Montenegro, Tourism in Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Local food in Croatia. Project Invest in LOG developed a Common platform for the internationalization of SMEs from the cross-border area with the aim to provide an effective institutional support to export-oriented entrepreneurs. This project also established a virtual incubator which provides a wide range of business related services to entrepreneurs in the cross-border area. Project Compete PLAMET developed Cross-border Metal and plastic sector Knowledge Network. |
| S | PA4a | Number of cross-border business clusters and/or networks developed and/or implemented | Number | 11.00 | 9.00 | Projects CODE, competenceNET, BACAR, Invest in LOG, COMPETE PLAMET and STRONGER foreseen to develop 9 cross-border business clusters and/or networks by the end of their implementation. |
| F | PA4b | Number of business support institutions supported | Number | 17.00 | 17.00 | Within project CODE, 4 co-working spaces (CODE hubs) were adapted, equipped and opened in Mostar and Tuzla (BA), Nikšić (ME) and Zadar (HR). Another 3 business support institutions were renovated and equipped in Orašje (BA), Lipik (HR) and Garešnica (HR) within project Invest in LOG. Project BACAR adapted and equipped 4 Cluster support centres (in Kotor (ME) and Mostar (BA), Chamber of Commerce of Montenegro and Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Trebinje (BA) in order to provide strong support to clusering processes and increase marketing position of businesses and entrepreneurs in cross-border area. Project COMPETE PLAMET improved institutional infrastructure and human resources for 2 technology parks. Project STRONGER built capacity of 4 BSIs with training programmes. |
| S | PA4b | Number of business support institutions supported | Number | 17.00 | 26.00 | Projects CODE, BACAR, Invest in LOG, COMPETE PLAMET and STRONGER foreseen to support 26 business support institutions through their implementation. SFC warning 252.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| F | PA4c | Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs developed or improved | Number | 16.00 | 5.00 | Project competenceNET reconstructed, renovated and equiped facilities in Stari Jankovci (HR), Žepče (BIH) and Podgorica (ME) in order to establish 3 business competence centers, whereas project BACAR established 2 cluster support centres (in Kotor (ME) and Mostar (BA)) which are used as shared facilities where advisers and experts provide support in leadership, best practices, research, support and/or training in the area of business clustering. |
| S | PA4c | Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs | | 16.00 | 8.00 | Projects competenceNET, BACAR and STRONGER foreseen to develop or improve 8 laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs in cross-border area. |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| F | CO26 | Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | CO26 | Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions | | 36.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA4a | Number of cross-border business clusters and/or networks developed and/or implemented | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA4a | Number of cross-border business clusters and/or networks developed and/or implemented | 9.00 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA4b | Number of business support institutions supported | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA4b | Number of business support institutions supported | 26.00 | 26.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | PA4c | Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs developed or improved | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | PA4c | Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs developed or improved | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

| Priority axis | PA 4 - Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area | |
|---------------|--|--|
| Thematic | g - Enhancing competitiveness, the business environment and the development of small and medium-sized enterprises, trade and investment through, inter alia, promotion and | |
| Priority | support to entrepreneurship, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises, and development of local cross-border markets and internationalisation. | |
| Specific | 4.1 - To enhance institutional infrastructure and services in order to accelerate the competitiveness and development of business environment in the programme area | |
| objective | | |

Table 1: Result indicators - PA 4.g.4.1

| ID | Indicator | Measurement | Baseline | Baseline | Target | 2019 | 2019 | Observations |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|-------------|---|
| | | unit | value | year | value | Total | Qualitative | |
| | | | | | (2023) | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | | |
| PA4 | Range of cluster activities | Number | 33.00 | 2015 | 49.00 | | | In 2019 the values are reported as in 2018 because the ones reflecting the real state of play for result indicators |
| | enhancing innovation, new | | | | | | | will be available only in years agreed under 'frequency of reporting' – 2018, 2020, 2023. Data is available only |
| | technologies and ICT solutions | | | | | | | for the Programme area in Montenegro, Republika Srpska and Brčko District, therefore the obtained data is |
| | | | | | | | | incomplete and might be misleading if entered as total. |

| ID | Indicator | 2018 Total | 2018 Qualitative | 2017 Total | 2017 Qualitative | 2016 Total | 2016 Qualitative | 2015 Total | 2015 Qualitative |
|-----|--|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| PA4 | Range of cluster activities enhancing innovation, new technologies and ICT solutions | | | | | | | 33.00 | |

| ID | Indicator | 2014 Total | 2014 Qualitative |
|-----|--|------------|------------------|
| PA4 | Range of cluster activities enhancing innovation, new technologies and ICT solutions | | |

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis PA 5 - Technical Assistance

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA 5. Technical Assistance

| (1) | ID | Indicator | Measurement | Target | 2019 | Observations |
|-----|------|---|-------------|--------|-------|---|
| | | | unit | value | | |
| F | 5.1 | Joint secretariat established | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | The Joint Secretariat was established in May 2015. |
| S | 5.1 | Joint secretariat established | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | The Joint Secretariat was established in May 2015. |
| F | 5.10 | Network of controllers established | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | Network of controllers was established in 2016. |
| S | 5.10 | Network of controllers established | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | Network of controllers was established in 2016. |
| F | | Number of employees (Full Time | Number | 15.00 | 20.60 | In 2019, 100% salary for 11 employees, 50% salary for 7 employees, 47% salary for 5 employees, 40% for 3 employees, 35% for 1 employees, 25% for 8 employees and 20% salary for 1 employee are financed by the |
| | | Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed | | | | technical assistance. The implemented value is higher than the selected one due to the fact that more salaries could be co-financed by the TA than it was estimated in the programming period. Additionally, the workload has |
| | | by technical assistance | | | | increased significantly since the Programme is in the middle stage of implementation. SFC warnings 253.1 and 254.1 explanation: Target value for 2023 will probably have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| S | | Number of employees (Full Time | Number | 15.00 | 15.00 | The target value of this indicator is planned to be increased in the next Cooperation Programme modification. |
| | | Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed | | | | |
| | | by technical assistance | | | | |
| F | 5.5 | Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held | Number | 9.00 | 4.00 | In accordance with Article 38 (8) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 447/2014 and JMC Rules of Procedures, JMC shall meet at least once a year. In 2019, one meeting, fourth in total, was held - on 29 and |
| | | | | | | 30 October 2019 in Sarajevo (BA). |
| | | Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held | | 9.00 | | In accordance with Article 38 (8) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 447/2014 and JMC Rules of Procedures, JMC shall meet at least once a year. Therefore, total planned target value is 9 meetings. |
| F | | Programme communication strategy | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | Programme Communication Strategy adopted and implemented in 2016. |
| | | developed and implemented | | | | |
| S | | Programme communication strategy | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | Programme Communication Strategy adopted and implemented in 2016. |
| | | developed and implemented | | | | |
| F | 5.8 | Independent programme evaluations | Number | 2.00 | 1.00 | One evaluation during Programme implementation (operational evaluation) was finalised in October 2019. |
| | | implemented (ex-ante and during | | | | |
| | | programme implementation) | | | | |
| S | 5.8 | Independent programme evaluations | Number | 2.00 | 1.00 | Operational evaluation was finalised in October 2019. Impact evaluation is planned for the end of 2021 / beginning of 2022. |
| | | implemented (ex-ante and during | | | | |
| | | programme implementation) | | | | |
| F | | Programme e-Monitoring System | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | e-Monitoring System for the Programme was developed, implemented, set-up and ready for use in 2016. |
| | | developed, implemented and used | | | | |
| S | 5.9 | | Number | 1.00 | 1.00 | e-Monitoring System for the Programme was developed, implemented, set-up and ready for use in 2016. |
| | | developed, implemented and used | | | | |

| (1) | ID | Indicator | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|-----|------|--|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| F | 5.1 | Joint secretariat established | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5.1 | Joint secretariat established | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| F | 5.10 | Network of controllers established | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5.10 | Network of controllers established | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 5.16 | Number of employees (Full Time Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance | 22.40 | 14.33 | 8.33 | 5.33 | 5.33 |
| S | 5.16 | Number of employees (Full Time Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance | 15.00 | 15.00 | 8.33 | 5.33 | 5.33 |
| F | 5.5 | Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5.5 | Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held | 9.00 | 9.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 5.7 | Programme communication strategy developed and implemented | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5.7 | Programme communication strategy developed and implemented | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 5.8 | Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5.8 | Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation) | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F | 5.9 | Programme e-Monitoring System developed, implemented and used | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S | 5.9 | Programme e-Monitoring System developed, implemented and used | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

| Priority | Ind | ID | Indicator | Measurement | Milestone | Final target | 2019 | Observations |
|----------|------|------|---|-------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---|
| axis | type | | | unit | for 2018 total | (2023) total | | |
| PA 1 | F | PA1 | Improving the quality of the services in public health and social care sector | EUR | 625,000 | 8,573,297.00 | 2,309,210.58 | The contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 2,309,210.58 (total amount). |
| PA 1 | O | PA1a | Number of jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services | Number | 3 | 11.00 | 7.00 | Within project Q-Access HC, 2 health care centers in cross-border area significantly improved diagnostic services and access to patients, while shortening emergency response time. Project We CARE opened 2 new age-friendly centers with aim to increase physical and intellectual capacities of elderly people in the cross-border area., and created Quality assessment tool together with Handbook on elderly care. Project MELAdetect created e-health tool that enables continuous tracking of changes in patient's skin or eyes thus preventing and improving medical treatment of melanoma. |
| PA 1 | 0 | PA1b | Number of participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care | Number | 70 | 343.00 | 618.00 | Project PALL NET trained 63 persons as palliative care providers. Project Q-Access HC also trained 6 members of established mobile palliative teams, as well as 27 persons in quality management systems. Within project We CARE, 29 participants attended trainings on elderly care. Project MELAdetect provided educations and trainings to 454 participants on melanoma, i.e. raising awareness and knowledge of risks and treatment of melanoma. Project SLEEP MEDICINE educated 13 persons on health care, while project NEURNET educated 26 persons. Due to the high interest in this topic, a higher number of persons were educated than it was planned at the beginning of implementation. |
| PA 2 | F | ,PA2 | Protecting the environment and nature, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency. | EUR | 1,050,000 | 14,288,830.00 | 4,684,267.85 | The contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 4,684,267.85 (total amount). |
| PA 2 | I | PA2 | Projects contracted | Number | 6 | 12.00 | 7.00 | Projects SMART SCHOOLS, RENEW HEAT, PESCAR, safEarth, IRENE, Chestnut, and RMMPI were contracted within the 1st CfP. |
| PA 3 | F | PA3 | Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage | EUR | 1,250,000 | 17,146,595.00 | 3,724,042.88 | The contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 3,724,042.88 (total amount). |
| PA 3 | 0 | PA3a | Number of joint tourism offers/products developed and/or implemented and promoted | Number | 1 | 23.00 | 4.00 | Project Heritage route established a cross-border thematic tourist route devoted to the cultural and religious attractions and created 2 tourism packages, whereas project RiTour developed and organised international cup of the Adriatic-Danubian countries in fly-fishing, which served as a great opportunity for joint promotion of the region. |
| PA 3 | 0 | PA3b | Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards | Number | 2 | 10.00 | 33.00 | Within project ADRIATIC CANYONING, 9 persons are certified in accordance with the European standard UNI EN 15567-2 (technical instructors for rope courses), whereas project Heritage route organised tailor-made educations for 20 cross-border tourism providers for rural tourism management and 4 tourist guides and water route guides, all in accordance with EU certifications and standards. Target value for 2023 will however have to be increased during the next CP modification. |
| PA 3 | 0 | PA3e | Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management | Number | 40 | 343.00 | 277.00 | Projects Heritage route and Becharac & Ganga organised and implemented trainings in the area of destination management for 86 participants (64 within project Heritage route and 22 within project Becharac & Ganga), whereas project RiTour educated 37 participants as tourist guides. Project ADRIATIC CANYONING trained 8 people in quality standards in tourism. Project Fortress Reinvented educated 146 people in management of cultural heritage sites with innovative perspective. |
| PA 3 | O | PA3f | Number of cultural and natural assets developed and/or improved | Number | 3 | 14.00 | 21.00 | Within project Heritage route, 19 cultural, sacral and natural assets were preserved and improved by implementing small scale infrastructure interventions. Project RiTour improved walking path to Stjepan Grad in Blagaj (BIH). Project Becharac & Ganga developed new tourism infrastructure – square was constructed with roh bau museum of Becharac. |
| PA 4 | F | PA4 | Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area | EUR | 1,000,000 | 11,431,063.00 | 3,470,984.42 | The contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 3,470,984.42 (total amount). |

| Priority axis | Ind type | ID | Indicator | Measurement unit | Milestone for 2018 | Final target (2023) total | 2019 | Observations |
|------------------|-------------|------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | total | , , | | |
| PA 4 | 0 | PA4b | Number of business support institutions supported | Number | 5 | 17.00 | 17.00 | Within project CODE, 4 co-working spaces (CODE hubs) were adapted, equipped and opened in Mostar and Tuzla (BA), Nikšić (ME) and Zadar (HR). Another 3 business support institutions were renovated and equipped in Orašje (BA), Lipik (HR) and Garešnica (HR) within project Invest in LOG. Project BACAR adapted and equipped 4 Cluster support centres (in Kotor (ME) and Mostar (BA), Chamber of Commerce of Montenegro and Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Trebinje (BA) in order to provide strong support to clusering processes and increase marketing position of businesses and entrepreneurs in cross-border area. Project COMPETE PLAMET improved institutional infrastructure and human resources for 2 technology parks. Project STRONGER built capacity of 4 BSIs with training programmes. |
| PA 4 | 0 | PA4c | Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs developed or improved | Number | 1 | 16.00 | 5.00 | Project competenceNET reconstructed, renovated and equiped facilities in Stari Jankovci (HR), Žepče (BIH) and Podgorica (ME) in order to establish 3 business competence centers, whereas project BACAR established 2 cluster support centres (in Kotor (ME) and Mostar (BA)) which are used as shared facilities where advisers and experts provide support in leadership, best practices, research, support and/or training in the area of business clustering. |

| Priority | Ind | ID | Indicator | Measurement | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|----------|------|------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|
| axis | type | | | unit | | | | | |
| PA 1 | F | PA1 | Improving the quality of the services in public health and social care sector | EUR | 1,304,600.06 | 6,205.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 1 | 0 | PA1a | Number of jointly developed and/or implemented tools and services that enable better quality of health and/or social care services | Number | 5.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 1 | О | PA1b | Number of participants in joint education and training schemes on health and/or social care | Number | 288.00 | 36.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 2 | F | ,PA2 | Protecting the environment and nature, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency. | EUR | 1,959,991.75 | 11,900.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 2 | I | PA2 | Projects contracted | Number | 7.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | F | PA3 | Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage | EUR | 1,889,395.63 | 20,910.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | О | PA3a | Number of joint tourism offers/products developed and/or implemented and promoted | Number | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | О | PA3b | Number of tourism providers with (international) certifications and standards | Number | 29.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | 0 | PA3e | Number of participants trained in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management | Number | 104.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 3 | О | PA3f | Number of cultural and natural assets developed and/or improved | Number | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 4 | F | PA4 | Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area | EUR | 1,635,506.48 | 22,482.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 4 | 0 | PA4b | Number of business support institutions supported | Number | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PA 4 | О | PA4c | Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by the entrepreneurs developed or improved | Number | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 17 of model for cooperation programmes under IPA.

| Priority axis | Calculation basis | Total funding | Co- financing | Total eligible cost of operations selected for | Proportion of the total allocation covered with | Public eligible cost of operations selected for | Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing | Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure | Number of operations |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------|
| uais | Dusis | runung | rate | support | selected operations | support | | declared by beneficiaries | selected |
| PA 1 | Public | 10,086,232.00 | 85.00 | 4,058,495.71 | 40.24% | 3,446,758.90 | 2,309,210.58 | 22.89% | 6 |
| PA 2 | Public | 16,810,389.00 | 85.00 | 7,143,500.11 | 42.49% | 6,070,330.87 | 4,684,267.85 | 27.87% | 7 |
| PA 3 | Public | 20,172,465.00 | 85.00 | 7,043,874.93 | 34.92% | 5,987,293.58 | 3,724,042.88 | 18.46% | 5 |
| PA 4 | Public | 13,448,310.00 | 85.00 | 5,888,604.72 | 43.79% | 5,004,334.62 | 3,470,984.42 | 25.81% | 6 |
| PA 5 | Public | 6,724,156.00 | 85.00 | 6,724,156.00 | 100.00% | 5,715,531.00 | 1,689,583.69 | 25.13% | 10 |
| Total | | 67,241,552.00 | 85.00 | 30,858,631.47 | 45.89% | 26,224,248.97 | 15,878,089.42 | 23.61% | 34 |

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

| Priority axis | Intervention field | Form of finance | Territorial dimension | Territorial delivery mechanism | «r.ir.etc.table5.thempridimen» | Economic dimension | Location dimension | Total eligible cost of operations selected for support | Public eligible cost of operations selected for support | The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority | Number of operations selected |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| PA 1 | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| PA 1 | 053 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR03 | 296,238.80 | 250,321.79 | 274,427.04 | 1 |
| PA 1 | 053 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR04 | 138,124.70 | 117,405.99 | 99,688.83 | 1 |
| PA 1 | 055 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 21 | HR04 | 138,124.70 | 117,405.99 | 99,688.83 | 1 |
| PA 1 | 081 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR03 | 326,213.95 | 277,281.85 | 248,009.28 | 1 |
| PA 1 | 081 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR04 | 138,124.70 | 117,405.99 | 99,688.83 | 1 |
| PA 1 | 107 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR04 | 138,124.70 | 117,405.99 | 99,688.83 | 1 |
| PA 1 | 112 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR03 | 1,102,684.29 | 935,800.44 | 830,508.90 | 3 |
| PA 1 | 112 | 01 | 07 | 07 | a | 20 | HR04 | 1,780,859.87 | 1,513,730.86 | 557,510.04 | 3 |
| PA 2 | 010 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR03 | 1,317,399.00 | 1,119,789.15 | 755,049.86 | 2 |
| PA 2 | 010 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR04 | 907,531.60 | 770,853.81 | 500,316.13 | 2 |
| PA 2 | 011 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR04 | 1,246,723.75 | 1,059,167.11 | 1,049,041.20 | 2 |
| PA 2 | 013 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR03 | 957,910.00 | 814,223.50 | 636,910.76 | 1 |
| PA 2 | 013 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR04 | 548,042.61 | 465,288.16 | 382,177.02 | 1 |
| PA 2 | 085 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR03 | 300,819.63 | 255,696.68 | 186,423.52 | 1 |
| PA 2 | 085 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR04 | 589,558.39 | 501,124.61 | 389,462.21 | 1 |
| PA 2 | 087 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR04 | 974,695.50 | 828,491.17 | 598,463.63 | 1 |
| PA 2 | 088 | 01 | 07 | 07 | b | 22 | HR03 | 300,819.63 | 255,696.68 | 186,423.52 | 1 |
| PA 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PA 3 | 090 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR03 | 626,417.10 | 532,454.52 | 264,251.07 | 1 |
| PA 3 | 091 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR03 | 906,650.44 | 770,652.85 | 385,342.37 | 2 |
| PA 3 | 091 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR04 | 769,058.05 | 653,699.34 | 591,085.30 | 1 |
| PA 3 | 092 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR04 | 235,505.69 | 200,179.83 | 194,095.63 | 1 |
| PA 3 | 093 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR04 | 235,505.69 | 200,179.83 | 194,095.63 | 1 |
| PA 3 | 094 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR03 | 2,216,882.83 | 1,884,350.37 | 597,815.37 | 3 |
| PA 3 | 094 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR04 | 769,058.05 | 653,699.34 | 591,085.29 | 1 |
| PA 3 | 095 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR03 | 280,233.34 | 238,198.33 | 121,091.30 | 1 |
| PA 3 | 095 | 01 | 07 | 07 | d | 15 | HR04 | 1,004,563.74 | 853,879.17 | 785,180.92 | 2 |
| PA 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PA 4 | 062 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 01 | HR04 | 326,436.64 | 277,144.70 | 75,910.46 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 062 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR04 | 223,539.74 | 190,008.78 | 156,042.37 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 063 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 01 | HR03 | 326,436.64 | 277,144.70 | 75,910.46 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 063 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR03 | 294,518.50 | 250,340.73 | 182,320.89 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 063 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR04 | 801,684.61 | 681,431.90 | 534,381.41 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 063 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 19 | HR03 | 509,921.94 | 433,433.64 | 263,602.40 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 066 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 01 | HR03 | 326,436.64 | 277,144.70 | 75,910.46 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 066 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 19 | HR03 | 509,921.94 | 433,433.64 | 263,602.38 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 072 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR03 | 294,518.51 | 250,340.73 | 182,320.89 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 072 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR04 | 1,391,177.83 | 1,182,501.14 | 1,006,521.61 | 3 |
| PA 4 | 104 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR03 | 294,518.51 | 250,340.73 | 182,320.89 | 1 |
| PA 4 | 104 | 01 | 07 | 07 | g | 18 | HR04 | 589,493.22 | 501,069.23 | 472,140.20 | 2 |
| PA 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PA 5 | 121 | 01 | 07 | 07 | | 18 | HR | 6,724,156.00 | 5,715,531.00 | 1,689,583.69 | 10 |

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

| 1. Operation (2) | support(1) envisaged to be | operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total | IPA support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared | allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|--|
| CODE, Fortress Reinvented, BACAR, Q- ACCESS HC, PESCAR, IRENE, RiTOUR, Chestnut, safEarth, RMPPI, Stronger, Invest in LOG, NeurNet, Sleep Medicine, PALL NET | | 1.74% | 99,293.94 | 0.17% |

⁽¹⁾ IPA support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

⁽²⁾ In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

Programme evaluations are carried out in order to assess the effectiveness, efficiency and impact of the programme. Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia-Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014-2020 (the Programme) has been subject to an ex-ante evaluation of independent evaluators with the aim to improve programme quality and to optimise the allocation of budget resources. The recommendations of this evaluation have been taken into account during the drafting of the Programme.

During the implementation of the Programme, the aim of the evaluation is to assess how the support from the funds has contributed to the objectives for each Priority Axis. In accordance with Article 56 of the Regulation No 1303/2013, the Managing Authority (MA) prepared the evaluation plan approved by the Joint Monitoring Committee (JMC) on 30 November 2016. All evaluations, recommendations and follow-up actions shall also be approved by the JMC.

In line with the Evaluation plan, Programme specific needs and the available financial resources, the following evaluations are planned to be carried out:

- Evaluation of the Programme efficiency and effectiveness focusing on the evaluation of the quality and effectiveness of the Programme management and procedures (management structure, coordination between bodies, monitoring system, etc.) and evaluation of the quality and effectiveness of the Programme Communication Strategy.
- Impact evaluation focusing on the evaluation of Programme's performance as regards to each specific objective and evaluation of how support from ERDF/IPA II has contributed to the objectives of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

The evaluation of Programme's efficiency and effectiveness was carried out during 2019. One procurement procedure was carried out for Interreg IPA Cross-border Cooperation Programme Croatia - Serbia 2014-2020 and Interreg IPA Cross-border Cooperation Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina - Montenegro 2014 – 2020 in September 2018, and the contract with the consortium WYG Consulting Ltd and T33 was signed on 15 April 2019. The evaluation was conducted from May until October 2019.

The evaluation methodology combined desk reviews of Programme documents, a web survey answered by beneficiaries and applicants, a set of interviews with Programme representatives and a case study analysis. The evaluation focused on Programme management, Programme implementation and the Programme Communication Strategy. The evaluation was carried out halfway through the implementation of the Programme, in order to showcase mid-term achievements and results in the cross-border area.

The elements which were subject of the evaluation were the following:

1. Quality and efficiency of managing the Programme and Programme procedures

The evaluators examined whether the Programme management and control system are set up in an efficient and effective way in order to enable achievement of the results and outputs by evaluating the

following:

- Management structure including human resources
- Launching CfP and project selection procedures
- Programme procedures and decision-making process
- Procedures of monitoring the project implementation.

1. Quality and efficiency of implementing the Programme

The evaluators examined whether the implementation of the Programme leads to achieving the objectives and results by evaluating the following:

- Monitoring procedures of the Programme implementation
- Progress towards objectives and results of the Programme
- Progress in achieving targets from performance framework
- Contribution to the objectives of the Europe 2020 Strategy and macro-regional strategies
- Compliance with horizontal principles.

1. Quality and efficiency of implementing the Programme Communication strategy

Under this section, it was evaluated whether the Programme Communication Strategy is efficient in helping to achieve the objectives by evaluating the following:

- Procedures for monitoring the achievement of Communication Strategy objectives
- Assessment of achievement of the Communication Strategy objectives
- Involvement of partners and relevant stakeholders.

The Final Evaluation Report was issued on 10 October 2019 and was approved by the JMC on 30 October 2019.

The report reveals that the Programme is performing well in meeting the overall Programme goal: strengthening the social, economic and territorial development of the cross-border area. According to evaluators, Programme bodies are effectively managing cross-border cooperation and ensuring that projects are creating sustainable results in Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro.

Main points of the report are the following:

- There is a clear and efficient assignment of functions and responsibilities among Programme bodies, which is reflected in successful implementation of Calls for Proposals, selection processes and providing support to beneficiaries.
- The Programme has reached a satisfactory number of applicants during Calls for Proposals, meaning that Interreg is a well-known financing instrument in the cross-border area.
- Projects are producing tangible outputs in the field of social care and healthcare, energy efficiency and environment protection, tourism, heritage and competitiveness of Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro. Although differences occur among Priority Axes, project results are leading to the achievement of Programme targets set for the 2014-2020 period.
- Communication is consistent across the Programme area due to efforts implemented by the projects and due to favorable support from Programme bodies to beneficiaries
- The eMS proved to be a useful and reliable tool for monitoring of projects and their progress, although occasional setbacks appear. The system is being improved continuously, with new functions introduced regularly and weaknesses removed.

The evaluation findings will serve as a tool to improve the quality of Programme implementation in the near future. Also, findings will be used in planning future policies of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia – Bosnia and Herzegovina for the 2021-2027 period.

| Name | Fund | From month | From year | To month | To year | Type of evaluation | Thematic priority | Topic | Findings |
|---|--------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Implementation of Interreg IPA programme - Respecting horizontal principles | Horizontal principles are integrated in all phases of the Programme management: programming phase, selection of operations, monitoring and control of operations. Case studies reveal the presence of project activities contributing both to the sustainable development principles and to equal opportunities, non-discrimination and equality. |
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 4 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Interreg IPA programme communication strategy - Monitoring procedures regarding the achievement of communication strategy objectives | The analysis reveals that the Programme has already implemented a large part of the planned activities. We recommend reinforcing the communication activities targeting the wider public. The objective should not be to attract new applicants but to inform citizens and stakeholders about the benefits that the Programme is bringing in the territories. The analysis of the events organized indicates the effort made to ensure the balanced coverage of all three countries (10 events were organised in Croatia, 11 in Bosnia and Herzegovina, 7 in Montenegro). |
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 4 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Implementation of Interreg IPA programme - Procedures for monitoring the implementation of the programme | The indicator system proposed is coherent with the regulatory framework which means that the Programme have indicators measuring the projects outputs and the results of the Programme (at SO level). But there are no indicators measuring the direct results of the projects. The post 2020 period foresees a different logical framework which implies the presence of indicators measuring the direct results produced by the projects. From the perspective of the preparation of the new Programme it is recommendable to start to elaborate a possible set of "direct results indicators". The impact |

| | | | | | | | | | evaluation could be used to start to reflect on the future monitoring system. Every six months (except for the first progress report which covers the first three months) beneficiaries are to report on activities and expenditures. Beneficiaries consider that the process of handling and delivering of the progress reports is efficient. |
|---|--------|---|------|---|------|---------|---------|---|---|
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 4 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Managing Interreg IPA programme and Programme procedures - Project implementation monitoring procedures | The procedures for managing payments and certification is generally effective. All exchanges between the beneficiaries and the control bodies are made via eMS; moreover the Programme is in line with the requirements of the Omnibus regulation in terms of use of SCO. Some of the interviewees stress that the control process is sometimes slow due to the different legal frameworks among the country partners. But as the analysis of the financial progress these problems have not affected the capacity of the programme of absorb the available resources. In view of the new programming period we recommend to already start to: reflect on the possible use of additional SCO. Among the different solution we remind the possible use of SCO adopted under other EC instruments (e.g. Erasmus + method to calculate units cost for travel expenses). Data from the survey indicate that the Programme effectively supports the beneficiaries during the project implementation. They appreciate the quality of the Programme manual (very helpful for the 47% of the respondents) and also the approach for managing the payment claims (very efficient for the 40% of respondents). |

| | | | | | | | | | regulatory requirements and is generally efficient. There are some weaknesses in aggregating data and reporting them in useful formats, but they have been solved by using additional tools. The eMS allows constant monitoring of the state of play of projects and their progress towards the targets. |
|---|--------|---|------|----|------|---------|------------------|---|---|
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Interreg IPA programme communication strategy - Evaluating the achievement of communication strategy objectives | Analysis of the communication activities as well as inputs from the case studies indicate that information and support provided were harmonized and consistent across all the territories. |
| | | | | | | | | | Opinion from applicants and beneficiaries involved in the survey indicates that the support of the Programme was good (95% of the respondents declare to not having encountered any difficulties in meeting the communication requirements, which proves both the clarity of the rules but also the quality of the support provided). |
| | | | | | | | | | Only in 2019 some of the projects from 1st call completed the project implementation which explains the momentary lack of specific capitalisation activities. According to the information collected from the interviews, EC Day and other events (e.g. Regio stars awards in Bruxelles) are some of the specific capitalization activities that will take place during the last part of 2019. We recommend defining specific activities to offer beneficiaries the possibility to present to other beneficiaries and to the wider public the results of the projects. Impact evaluation could support capitalisation processes by offering to Programme bodies and projects the opportunity to reflect on the direct results produced by the projects (which are not monitored by the indicators). |

EN EN

| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 4 | 2019 | Process | a b d g g | Interreg IPA programme communication strategy - Inclusion of partners and relevant stakeholders | Different tools and activities are foreseen to reach all different categories. In this sense it is possible to affirm that the Programme foresees mechanisms to effectively address and involve the relevant target groups. However, if we look to the communication activities implemented, the level of implementation of the activities targeting the wider public is limited compared to the activities targeting the beneficiaries and the potential beneficiaries. We recommend reinforcing the communication activities targeting the wider public. The objective should not be to attract new applicants but to inform citizens and stakeholders about the benefits that the Programme is bringing to the territories. The Programme attracted a significant number of projects proposals (approximately five times more than the projects approved) and all programme axes are equally effective in attracting project ideas. Information collected from the case studies indicate that projects partners are organising interesting activities to communicate to their groups the outputs and results produced by the projects. It is reasonable to assume that as the projects approach the final stage, the intensity of the communication activities to the wider public will increase, as well as their capacity to involve their target groups. The perception of the capacity to mobilise the target groups is shared by the beneficiaries. |
|---|--------|---|------|----|------|---------|------------------|---|--|
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Implementation of Interreg IPA programme - Progress in achieving the target values in the performance | The Programme is overperforming compared to the initial milestones, both at the level of financial progress (i.e. certified expenditure), and at the level of progress of the output indicators. All four axes have certified |

EN EN

| | | | | | | | | framework | more expenditures than expected, with axis 1 having certified more than double of the expenditures planned for 2018. The targets for 2023 appear reachable. This is confirmed both by the analysis of the data presented in the AIR and by the perception of the beneficiaries (i.e. data from the survey). More precisely, if we look to the final targets for 2023 data show: one indicator has already achieved the targets for 2023 and four others have already achieved more than half than what was planned; three indicators are between the 20% and 50% of the final targets which, from our perspective, make the final targets effectively reachable; five indicators below the threshold of 20% with respect to the final |
|---|--------|---|------|----|------|---------|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | target. We recommend to monitor the progress of the underachieved indicators. When selecting new projects we recommend to reward applications contributing to the underachieved indicators. Data from the survey show that the support provided by the Programme authorities is generally considered very helpful (none of the respondents consider the support of |
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Implementation of Interreg IPA programme - Contribution to the objectives of Europe 2020 Strategy and to macro- regional strategies | the Programme bodies as "not helpful"). The information collected from the case studies confirm the potential of the programme. In particular, the case studies reveal the capacity of the projects to reduce emissions, to increase the production of energy from renewable sources and to increase the energy efficiency. |
| | | | | | | | | | The information collected from the case studies confirm the potential of the programme. In particular, the case studies reveal the capacity of the projects to contribute to priority areas 2, 3, 7, 8 and 9 of EUSDR and to pillars |

| | | | | | | | | | 2 and 4 of EUSAIR. |
|---|--------|---|------|---|------|---------|------------------|--|--|
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 4 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Implementation of Interreg IPA programme - Progress in achieving the objectives and results of the programme | Result indicators: in the case of PA 1 and PA 2.1 we can observe progress in the direction of the targeted changes. For PA 3 we observe a significant decrease in the number of tourists' arrivals that is due to factors which are external to the Programme implementation. Finally, data in the AIR 2018 indicate problems in monitoring the result indicators for PA 2.2 and PA 4. |
| | | | | | | | | | Output indicators: population covered with improved health services and/or social services or facilities - the Programme is underperforming. It is recommended to formally revise the CP by reducing the target. However, this indicator is excluded from the performance framework which means that the underperformance does not imply any formal consequence; Population benefiting from flood protection measures - the Programme is underperforming. It is recommended to formally revise the CP by reducing the target. However, this indicator is excluded from the performance framework which means that the underperformance does not imply any formal consequence; Additional capacity of renewable energy production - The analysis of Annex 19 of the CP reveals that the target value declared in the CP (32 MW) does not correspond to the correct application of the formula provided in the methodological document. We recommend modify/correcting the target. TA axis is absorbing resources faster than the other axes. For what concerns the other axes, the eligible costs of the operations selected under axis 3 appears lower than expected. |

| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g g | Managing Interreg IPA programme and Programme procedures - Programme procedures and decision- making processes | The management structures are generally coherent with what was planned by the Programme strategy. The only differences concern: (1) the merging of the Agency for Regional Development of the Republic of Croatia (initially established as MA) with the Ministry of Regional Development and EU funds. According to the information collected this merging has slightly reduced the staff involved in the management of the programme (MA) but has not affected the overall efficiency of the two management structures; (2) The hiring of branch office staff by the national authorities (and not directly by the JS). Also in this case, the change has not affected the overall quality, or the efficiency of the support provided by the JS branch offices. The implementation of the Programme implies the constant interaction between different Programme |
|---|--------|---|------|----|------|---------|------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | bodies. The analysis of the decision-making processes and the opinion of the interviewees indicate that the decision-making process is generally efficient. |
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Managing Interreg IPA programme and Programme - Procedures for publishing calls and selecting projects | Data from the monitoring system (325 application forms received) and data from the survey highlight the capacity of the Programme to reach a relevant number of applicants including stakeholders with no previous experience as project partners of IPA CBC programme. |
| | | | | | | | | | Application process: The analysis of the Programme documents indicates an efficient organization of the application process. This is confirmed by the opinion of |

EN EN

| | | | | | | | | | the beneficiaries/applicants who took part in the web survey which considers the workload required to submit the application form in line with what required by other Interreg Programmes. Selection process: The information collected indicates an efficient organization of the selection process. Between the two calls procedures have been adjusted in order to ensure higher consistency in the approach adopted by external assessors. |
|---|--------|---|------|----|------|---------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | Information collected through the interviews and the survey raise no doubts regarding the transparency and fairness of the selection process. However, the absence of a minimum threshold level for assessing the relevance of the projects implies the risk of accepting projects with low relevance to the Programme objectives. We recommend establishing a minimum threshold at the level of the relevance criterion. We also suggest to consider to offer JMC/NA the possibility to check the relevance of the projects proposals to their own local/regional strategies. This approach would ensure more involvement of JMC/NA members in the selection process. |
| Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina 2014 - 2020 | IPA(e) | 4 | 2019 | 10 | 2019 | Process | a b d g | Managing Interreg IPA programme and Programme procedures - Management structures including human resources | The analysis of the Programme documents indicates clear and efficient definition and assignment of functions, responsibilities and tasks among the Programme bodies. This is confirmed by the opinion of the beneficiaries/applicants who took part in the web survey (79% of respondents declare that it is clear to which Programme body they should refer). The Programme utilises adequate human resources to ensure the efficient implementation of all Programme activities. Some interviewees raised attention to the fact that the reduction of the staff (which followed the |

| | | | merging of the Agency for Regional Development and the Ministry of Regional Development and EU Funds) could limit the capacity of the Croatian controllers to carry out controls on the 100% of the expenditures declared. It was recommended that the Programme bodies monitor the activity of Croatian controllers in order to verify their capacity to carry out controls consistently with the rules established in the Control Guidelines. |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | The set-up of the Programme bodies lasted two years. This was mainly due to the need for Programme bodies to write all the rules, regulations and documents ex novo. The new programming period should facilitate capitalisation on the 2014-2020 experience by expediting the set-up of the Programme bodies. It was recommended to analyse the draft regulation for the post 2020 period in order to anticipate problems related to possible regulatory changes. |

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

Institutional change

As of Juanuary 2019, ARR merged with MRDEUF. The roles and responsibilities of MA, JS and FLC in Croatia of the Programme have been transferred to MRDEUF.

This institutional change is based on the Conclusion of the Government of the Republic of Croatia from 2 August 2018 and the modification of Government Regulation on the bodies within the management and control systems for implementation of programmes supporting the goal "European Territorial Cooperation" in the financing period 2014 - 2020 (Official Gazette 2/2019).

Besides transferring employees of the programme bodies from Agency to MRDEUF, the merger of 2 institutions resulted with the decrease of the employees in Croatian First Level Control Body. Consequently, the FLC controls were performed with certain delays in the second half of 2019. The Managing Authority monitors the certification closely and will take appropriate measures in order to ensure continuous certification process.

EN 38 EN

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

| _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|---------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | Project CC | I Status of | Total | Total | Planned | Date of tacit agreement/ | Planned start of | Planned | Priority axis | Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of | Current state of realisation — physical progress | Main | Date of signature of first (| Observations |
| | roject cc | | | | | | | | | | | | | Josef various |
| | | MP | investments | eligible costs | notification/submission date | approval by Commission | implementation | completion date | Thematic priorities | expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible | Main implementation stage of the project | outputs | works contract (1) | |
| | | | | | | ** | • | - | - | and) | | | | |
| - 1 | | | 1 | 1 | ı | | 1 | 1 | 1 | (COSL) | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

| Title of the CC | I Stage of implementation of To | otal eligible | Total pu | olic OP contribution | to Priority | Type o | of [Planned] submission to the [F | Planned] start of | [Planned] | Main outputs and | Total eligible expenditure certified to the | Observations |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|---|--------------|
| JAP | JAP co | sts | support | JAP | axis | JAP | Commission in | nplementation | completion | results | Commission | |

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

| Priority ax | is PA 1 - Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area |
|---------------|--|
| | |
| Priority axis | PA 2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency |
| | |
| Priority axis | PA 3 - Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage |
| | |
| Priority axis | PA 4 - Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area |
| Priority ax | is PA 5 - Technical Assistance |
| | |

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

9.3. Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

- 10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013
- 10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

| ~ · · | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Status | Name | Fund | Year of finalizing evaluation | Type of evaluation | Thematic priority | Topic | Findings (in case of execution) | Follow up (in case of execution) |
| Status | raine | T unu | Year of finalizing evaluation | I vpc of evaluation | I HEHIAUC PHOTIC | I ODIC | rinuings (in case of execution) | Fullow up (III case of execution) |

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

- 11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)
- 11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the IPA

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

Interreg IPA Cross-border Cooperation Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina - Montenegro (Programme) shares entire part of its programme area with the territory covered by EU Strategies for the Danube (EUSDR) and Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR). The Programme strategy has taken into account the objectives of the macro-regional strategies, identifying common macro-regional challenges and needs that could be addressed through cross-border cooperation in the Programme area.

Challenges affecting the Danube and Adriatic-Ionian Region that could be addressed by the Programme are presented as correlation between Programme objectives and EUSDR Priority Areas/EUSAIR pillars. Project outputs and results that are delivered through project implementation make a positive impact in concerned areas.

In that way, the EUSDR challenge related to sustainable energy, managing environmental risks and preserving biodiversity, as well as the EUSAIR pillar dealing with challenge of preserving, protecting and improving the quality of the environment is tackled by projects contracted within the 1st CfP, under Priority axis (PA) 2, with additional capacities of renewable energy produced by projects **SMART SCHOOLS**, **RENEW HEAT**, **IRENE** and **RMPPI**, improving emergency preparedness and risk prevention systems and also population benefiting from flood protection measures by project **SafEarth** and revitalising alley by planting of permanent crops of chestnuts by project **Chestnut**.

The EUSDR challenge referring to promoting culture and tourism, as well as the EUSAIR pillar dealing with challenge of increasing regional attractiveness by supporting sustainable development of inland, coastal and maritime tourism and preservation and promotion of culture heritage are in correlation with projects contracted within the 1st CfP, under PA3, e.g. with project **Heritage route** which aims to preserve and restore cultural, historical and natural heritage assets in the cross-border partnership area (Banja Luka, Garešnica, Lipik) through the creation of 116 km of joint tourism routes and improve the competitiveness of cross-border tourism service providers, and project **ADRIATIC CANYONING** with new locations equipped with outdoor tourism infrastructure, and raised visibility of Adriatic hinterland as a great outdoor destination.

The EUSDR challenges referring to supporting the competitiveness of enterprises, including cluster development, as well as the EUSAIR aspect related to research, innovation and SMEs development are related to projects contracted within the 1stCfP, under PA4, e.g. projects **CompetenceNET** and **BACAR** which contribute to development of institutional infrastructure, services and networks in providing access to appropriate competences to businesses, in order to enhance competitiveness and business environment in the cross border area.

The aspect related to the capacity building is tackled through the implementation of projects contracted under all Priority axes within the 1st CfP, with trainings and educations related to palliative and elderly care, environment and biodiversity protection, sustainable and responsible tourism, providing touristic services to blind and visual impaired persons as well as to other disadvantageous people, cultural management, sustainable use of pesticides, innovative technologies, training programmes for unemployed and companies etc.

☑ EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)

☑ EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)

EUSDR

The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:

| | Pillar | Priority area |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.1 - Mobility - waterways |
| | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.3 - Energy |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | 1 - Connecting the Danube region | 1.4 - Culture and tourism |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | 2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region | 2.1 - Water quality |
| $\overline{\checkmark}$ | 2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region | 2.2 - Environmental risks |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | 2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region | 2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil quality |
| | 3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region | 3.1 - Knowledge society |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | 3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region | 3.2 - Competitiveness |
| $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | 3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region | 3.3 - People & skills |
| | 4 - Strengthening the Danube region | 4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation |
| | 4 - Strengthening the Danube region | 4.2 - Security |

| Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR |
|---|
| A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme? |
| Yes □ No ☑ |
| B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR? |
| Yes ☑ No □ |
| a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR |
| Yes □ No ☑ |
| b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number) |
| 0 |
| c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence) |
| The project could obtain max. score on the question related to contribution to different strategies, in case of clearly shown contribution to at least one macroregional strategy. |
| d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence) |
| No additional actions/strategic projects were implemented in 2019. |
| C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR? |
| Yes □ No ☑ |
| Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSDR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence) |
| The decision will be made during the programming for the next financial perspective, which was affected by COVID 19 pandemics. |
| D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016) |
| Under PA 2, projects "SMART SCHOOLS", "RENEW HEAT", "IRENE" and "RMPPI" have introduced additional capacities for renewable energy production and raised awareness on energy efficiency. Under PA4 projects "competenceNET" and "BACAR" developed institutional infrastructure, services and networks in providing access to appropriate competences to businesses in order to enhance competitiveness and business environment in the cross border area. |
| E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s)) |
| The Programme contributes to Priority Areas 2,3,4,5,6,7,8 and 9. |
| |

EUSAIR

$Pillar(s), topic(s) \ and/or \ cross \ cutting \ issue(s) \ that \ the \ programme \ is \ relevant \ to:$

| | Pillar | Topic / Cross cutting issue |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| | 1 - Blue growth | 1.1.1 - Blue technologies |
| | 1 - Blue growth | 1.1.2 - Fisheries and aquaculture |
| | 1 - Blue growth | 1.1.3 - Maritime and marine governance and services |
| | 1 - Blue growth | 1.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation |
| | 1 - Blue growth | 1.2.2 - SMEs development |
| | 1 - Blue growth | 1.2.3 - Capacity building |
| | 2 - Connecting the | 2.1.1 - Maritime transport |
| | region | |
| | 2 - Connecting the | 2.1.2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland |
| | region | |
| Ш | 2 - Connecting the | 2.1.3 - Energy networks |
| | region | |
| | 2 - Connecting the | 2.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation |
| | region 2 - Connecting the | 2.2.2 - SMEs development |
| | region | 2.2.2 - SiviLs development |
| | 2 - Connecting the | 2.2.3 - Capacity building |
| | region | 2.2.5 Capacity banding |
| | 3 - Environmental | 3.1.1 - The marine environment |
| | quality | |
| $\overline{\checkmark}$ | 3 - Environmental | 3.1.2 - Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity |
| | quality | |
| | 3 - Environmental | 3.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation |
| | quality | |
| | 3 - Environmental | 3.2.2 - SMEs development |
| | quality | |
| | 3 - Environmental | 3.2.3 - Capacity building |
| | quality | 4.1.1 Diversified torries offer (madrets and assessed |
| | 4 - Sustainable tourism | 4.1.1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services) |
| V | 4 - Sustainable tourism | 4.1.2 - Sustainable and responsible tourism management\r(innovation and |
| $\overline{\square}$ | 4 - Sustainable tourism | quality) 4.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation |
| | 4 - Sustainable tourism 4 - Sustainable tourism | 4.2.2 - SMEs development |
| | 4 - Sustainable tourism | 4.2.3 - Capacity building |
| LV | 4 - Sustamable tourisill | 4.2.3 - Capacity bulluling |

| A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Pillar Coordinators, or Thematic Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme? |
|---|
| Yes □ No ☑ |
| B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSAIR? |
| Yes ☑ No □ |
| a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSAIR |
| Yes □ No ☑ |
| b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number) |
| 0 |
| c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence) |
| The project could obtain max. score on the question related to contribution to different strategies, in case of clearly shown contribution to at least one macroregional strategy. |
| d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence) |
| No additional actions / strategic projects were implemented in 2018. |
| C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSAIR? |
| Yes □ No ☑ |
| Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSAIR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence) |
| The decision will be made during the programming for the next financial perspective, which was affected by the COVID -19 pandemics. |
| D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSAIR (n.a. for 2016) |
| Under PA3, project "ADRIATIC CANYONING" equipped new locations with outdoor tourism infrastructure and raised visibility of Adriatic hinterland as a great outdoor destination. |
| E. Does the programme contribute to the objectives and/or targets which are attached to each topic under the Pillars, as stated in the Action Plan? (please specify the objective(s) and target(s)) |
| The Programme contributes to objectives 2 and 3 of Pilar 3: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, and to all objectives of Pilar 4 SUSTAINABLE TOURISM. |

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSAIR

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

DOCUMENTS

| Document title | Document type | Document date | Local reference | Commission reference | Files | Sent date | Sent By |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| HR-BA-ME Citizen summary 2019 | Citizens' summary | 24-Sep-2020 | | Ares(2020)5068917 | HR-BA-ME Citizen summary 2019 | 28-Sep-2020 | nmedanij |

LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS

| Severity | | Message |
|----------|--------|---|
| Info | | Implementation report version has been validated |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: -, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: PA1, year: 2014 (0.00 < 44.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: PA3, year: 2014 (0.00 < 73,522,546.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: PA3, year: 2018 (59,114,676.00 < 73,522,546.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.48.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: PA3, year: 2019 (59,114,676.00 < 73,522,546.00). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.51.1 | Annual value entered in table 1 is below the target value for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: PA2.2, year: 2014 (0.00 < 2,508,304,251.08). Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 109.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1a, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 109.09% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1a, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 142.86% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3d, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 142.86% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3d, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 142.86% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3d, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 152.94% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4b, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 152.94% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4b, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 152.94% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4b, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 156.52% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: -, indicator: CO26, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 156.52% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: -, indicator: CO26, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 156.52% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 4, investment priority: -, indicator: CO26, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 185.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3f, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 185.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3f, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 185.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3f, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 309,993.57% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2a, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 309,993.57% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2a, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 309,993.57% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2a, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 340.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 460.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 460.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 744,684.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2017. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 94,936.35% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.52.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 94,936.35% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 114.29% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3d, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 137.33% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5.16, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 149.33% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5.16, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 150.00% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3f, year: 2019. Please check. |

| Severity | Code | Message |
|----------|--------|--|
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 180.17% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1b, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 290.00% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 330.00% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.53.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 81,441.29% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2a, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 94,911.06% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | | In table 2, the annual total value entered is 94,931.06% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 116.67% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2d, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 122.55% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1b, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 137.33% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5.16, year: 2019. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 149.33% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 5, investment priority: -, indicator: 5.16, year: 2018. Please check. |
| Warning | 2.54.1 | In table 2, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is 262.98% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: PA 1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1b, year: 2019. Please check. |